UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
ANDY FARIS,	
Plaintiff,	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT
-against-	Jury Trial
THE CITY OF NEW YORK, RUBEN SERRANO, OSVALDO HERNANDEZ, WENDY FLORES, JOHN FERRARA,	15 CV 7089 (KBF)
Defendants.	
X	

Plaintiff ANDY FARIS by and through his attorneys, Vik Pawar, and Robert Blossner, Esqs., respectfully allege as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. Plaintiff brings this action for compensatory damages, punitive damages and attorney's fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 1981, 1983 and 1988 for violations of his civil rights, as secured by statutes and the Constitution of the State of New York and the United States.

JURISDICTION

2. The action is brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 1981, 1983, and 1988, and the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States and New York Constitutions.

3. Jurisdiction is found upon 28 U.S.C. §§1331, 1343 and 1367. Plaintiff has complied with conditions precedent to file suit on his state law claims.

VENUE

4. Venue is properly laid in the Southern District of New York under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that it is the District in which the claim arose.

JURY DEMAND

5. Plaintiffs respectfully demand a trial by jury of all issues in the matter pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 38 (b).

PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff is a citizen of the United States, and at all relevant times was a resident of the County of Bronx, City and State of New York.
- 7. Defendant City of New York (hereinafter "City") was and is a municipal corporation duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York.
- 8. The Individual Defendants are officers who acted under the color of state law and are sued in their individual, supervisory and official capacities.

FACTS

9. On July 18, 2014 around 7:30 p.m., plaintiff was walking towards his home, when individual named defendants yanked him from the sidewalk and took him inside the lobby of the building next to his home.

- 10. Inside the lobby plaintiff was placed in a line-up with three (3) individuals with whom plaintiff was not acquainted with.
- 11. At least, 7-8 officers including the named defendants were inside the location where plaintiff was detained.
- 12. Defendants Serrano, Hernandez and Flores searched plaintiff and found no illegal contraband on him.
- 13. One of defendants informed defendant Ferrara that Plaintiff "had nothing on him."
- 14. Despite being a supervisor on the scene who was informed that plaintiff had nothing on his person, defendant Ferrara told the individual defendant to "take him anyway. Take them all."
- 15. Plaintiff was taken to the 40^{th} precinct where he was strip-searched without any reason and within the presence of female officers.
- 16. Plaintiff was then unceremoniously released through the side door around 4 p.m. the next day without being charged with any crime or illegal activity.

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Unlawful search and seizure/false arrest/excessive force-Fourth Amendment)

- 17. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and realleges each and every allegation in the foregoing paragraphs with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.
 - 18. Plaintiff was stopped, frisked and arrested for no reason.

- 19. Plaintiff suffered injuries when he was yanked, thrown against the lobby of the building and injured his wrists when defendants tightened the handcuffs placed on plaintiff.
 - 20. Plaintiff was strip-searched without any just cause.
 - 21. Plaintiff spent over 20 hours in jail.
- 22. Plaintiff was then released without being charged with any crime or illegal activity.
- 23. As a result of the aforementioned conduct of Defendants, Plaintiff's constitutional right to be free from excessive force, unlawful search and seizure were violated.

AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Denial of Right to Fair Trial)

- 24. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and realleges each and every allegation in the foregoing paragraphs with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.
 - 25. Defendants fabricated probable cause and detained plaintiff.
- 26. Defendants then arrested plaintiff and had him transported to the 40th precinct on the two separate occasions and strip-searched him.
- 27. The false fabricated charge denied plaintiff the right to a fair trial or a hearing.
 - 28. As a result of defendants' conduct, plaintiff suffered injuries.

AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Monell/Municipal Liability)

- 29. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "28" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.
- 30. Defendants, collectively and individually, while acting under color of state law, engaged in conduct that constituted a custom, usage, practice, procedure or rule of the respective municipality/authority, which is forbidden by the Constitution of the United States.
- 31. The aforementioned customs, policies, usages, practices, procedures and rules of the City and Police Department included, but were not limited to, arresting innocent individuals without any probable cause simply to generate overtime and maintain quotas specially in the 40th precinct. In addition, the City and Police Department engaged in a policy, custom or practice of inadequate screening, hiring, retaining, training and supervising its employees, which was the moving force behind the violation of Plaintiff rights as described herein. The defendant City's lack of training for defendants and having a de facto policy to arrest individuals for without probable cause in violation of plaintiff's civil rights.
- 32. This de facto policy has been subject to numerous lawsuits and was subject to many individual and class action judgments against the City.
- 33. In addition, the Defendant's superior officers whose duty it was to review and screen all arrests for propriety upon presentation by the arresting officer to the precinct and command desks routinely ratified such arrests without questioning the facts underlying same.

- 34. As a result of the failure of the City and Police Department to properly recruit, screen, train, discipline, and supervise its officers, including the individual Defendants, Defendants have tacitly authorized, ratified, and has been deliberately indifferent to, the acts and conduct complained of herein.
- 35. The foregoing customs, policies, usages, practices, procedures and rules of the Defendants constituted deliberate indifference to the safety, well-being and constitutional rights of Plaintiff and were the direct and proximate cause and the moving force of the constitutional violations suffered by Plaintiff as alleged herein.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of Section 1981)

- 36. Plaintiff repeat, reiterate and reallege each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "35" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.
- 37. Defendants targeted Plaintiff and falsely accused him of crimes and arrested and strip-searched him because of his race, ethnicity and color.
- 38. Defendants intentionally discriminated against plaintiff and in so doing denied that the full and equal benefits and equal protection under the laws.
 - 39. As a result of these actions, Plaintiff suffered injuries.

AS AND FOR AN SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligent Screening, Hiring, and Retention under the laws of the State of New York)

40. Plaintiff repeat, reiterate and reallege each and every allegation contained in paragraph numbered "1" through "39" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

41. Upon information and belief, Defendant City failed to use reasonable care in the screening, hiring and retention of the aforesaid individual Defendants who conducted and participated in the violation of Plaintiffs' rights.

AS AND FOR AN SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligence under the laws of the State of New York)

- 42. Plaintiffs repeat, reiterate and reallege each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "41" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.
- 43. Plaintiffs' physical and emotional injuries herein were caused by the carelessness, recklessness and negligence of the Defendants and their employees and agents, who were on duty and acting in the scope of their employment when they engaged in the wrongful conduct described herein.
 - 44. As a result, plaintiffs suffered injuries.

AS AND FOR A EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Respondeat Superior liability under the laws of the State of New York)

- 45. Plaintiff repeat, reiterate and reallege each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "44" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.
- 46. Defendant City is vicariously liable for the acts of its employees and agents who were on duty and acting in the scope of their employment when they engaged in the wrongful conduct described herein.

AS AND FOR AN NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Malicious Abuse of Process under the laws of the State of New York)

47. Plaintiff repeat, reiterate, and reallege each and every allegation contained

in paragraphs numbered "1" through "46" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

48. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff was subjected to malicious abuse of process by the defendants which led to him to being dragged, detained, strip-searched and arrested simply for the defendants to generate arrests, meet quotas and gain financially through overtime for this preposterous scheme, appease NYPD brass and in so doing caused plaintiff harm without any excuse or justification.

AS AND FOR A TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Intentional and Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress under the laws of New York)

- 49. Plaintiff repeat, reiterate, and reallege each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "48" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.
- 50. The aforementioned conduct was committed by Defendants while acting within the scope and furtherance of their employment by Defendant City.
- 51. The aforementioned conduct was extreme and outrageous, and exceeded all reasonable bounds of decency, was intentional and for the sole purpose of causing severe emotional distress to Plaintiffs and shocks the judicial conscience.
- 52. As a result of the aforementioned conduct, Plaintiffs suffered severe emotional distress, physical and mental injury, together with embarrassment, humiliation, shock, fright, and loss of freedom.
 - 53. As a result of these actions, Plaintiffs suffered injuries.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment and prays for the following relief, jointly and severally, against the defendants:

(A) full and fair compensatory damages in an amount One Hundred Thousand

Dollars for each and every cause of action for plaintiff against defendants

(individually or collectively) or as determined by a jury:

(B) punitive damages in an amount to be determined by a jury;

(C) reasonable attorney's fees and the costs, expenses and disbursements of this

action; and

(D) such other and further relief as appears just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York January 14, 2016

PAWAR LAW GROUP, P.C. 20 Vesey Street, Suite 1210 New York, New York 10007

(212) 571-080**5**

By:

Robert Blossher, Esq. (RB0526)

hk Pawar Esq (VP9101) thorners for Plaintiff